

Patient Safety and Quality Improvement High Value Care

Scoring of abstract based on five criteria:

- 1. Significance:** Does the intervention address a problem that is relevant to practice, either due to the frequency it is encountered or due to its severity in scope?
- 2. Methods:** There is evidence of a systematic analysis of error (root cause analysis, etc), a systematic approach to improvement, or recognition of an intervention that has limited benefits or increased burdens.
- 3. Value and sustainability:** The presentation should have an intervention or analysis with an emphasis on cost-conscious, high value care. It should show evidence that it endures at the home institution and should be applicable to other health care institutions.
- 4. Visual impact:** The poster should have a pleasing, effective visual impact. Tables, figures, and graphs give a clear presentation of the results. Text should be clearly written and free of grammatical errors.
- 5. Oral presentation and Interview:** The presenter shall provide all relevant information in a concise, well-spoken fashion in no longer than 5 minutes. The presenter should be knowledgeable and conversant with the information presented in the poster.

Grading is on a 10 point scale:

1-2 - poor, minimally meets criteria,

5-7 - satisfactory meets some criteria but clearly not a candidate for winning

9-10 – excellent score, clearly a candidate to win

Name, Title	Significance	Methods	Value & sustainability	Visual Impact	Oral	Overall
						/50
						/50
						/50
						/50
						/50
						/50

When assigning candidate grades, remember that the posters you evaluate are competing with many others:

44-50 Outstanding: consistently high in all five criteria, a candidate to win overall

37-43 Very good: consistently of high quality, but not quite a candidate to win