

Research

Scoring of abstract based on five criteria:

1. **Originality:** The research concept is clearly stated, embedded in scientific literature, and either queries a new concept or an alternate approach to an old problem.
2. **Methods:** The research design should be suitable for the stated objectives. Subjects, materials and methods, and statistical approach should be appropriate and clearly described.
3. **Significance:** The results and conclusion should clearly reflect and answer the research question. The research should either lead to a better understanding of a disease process, or in improving the diagnosis or treatment of a disease.
4. **Visual impact:** The poster should have a pleasing, effective visual impact. Tables, figures, and graphs give a clear presentation of the results. Text should be clearly written and free of grammatical errors.
5. **Oral presentation and Interview:** The presenter shall provide all relevant information in a concise, well-spoken fashion in no longer than 5 minutes. The presenter should be knowledgeable and conversant with the research presented in the poster.

Grading is on a 10 point scale:

1-2 - poor, minimally meets criteria,

5-7 - satisfactory meets some criteria but clearly not a candidate for winning

9-10 – excellent score, clearly a candidate to win

Name, Title	Originality	Methods	Significance	Visual Impact	Oral	Overall
						/50
						/50
						/50
						/50
						/50
						/50

When assigning candidate grades, remember that the posters you evaluate are competing with many others:

44-50 Outstanding: consistently high in all five criteria, a candidate to win overall

37-43 Very good: consistently of high quality, but not quite a candidate to win